arXiv:1607.07016v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 1 Dec 2016

Finite size corrections to scaling of the formation probabilities and the Casimir effect in the conformal field theories

M. A. Rajabpour

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Gal. Milton Tavares de Souza s/n, Gragoatá, 24210-346, Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Abstract. We calculate formation probabilities of the ground state of the finite size quantum critical chains using conformal field theory (CFT) techniques. In particular, we calculate the formation probability of one interval in the finite open chain and also formation probability of two disjoint intervals in a finite periodic system. The presented formulas can be also interpreted as the Casimir energy of needles in particular geometries. We numerically check the validity of the exact CFT results in the case of the transverse field Ising chain.

1. Introduction

Recently there has been growing interest in characterizing the formation probabilities in quantum spin chains near quantum critical points [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The formation probability is defined as the probability of occurrence of a particular configuration for a given observable in a subsystem. For example, in the case of spin chains, one can think about the probability of having a particular configuration for a string of spins. A special case of the formation probabilities is called emptiness formation probability. In the spin chains, it is defined as the probability of having a configuration with all the spins up in the subsystem. Emptiness formation probability of the discrete systems has been studied extensively in the literature, see for example [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], for the evolution of the emptiness formation probability after a quantum quench see [5, 6]. The main result is that the emptiness formation probability of a simple subsystem of a critical model usually decreases exponentially with the size of the subsystem. The exponential decay is accompanied by a power-law decay with a universal exponent. The nature of this exponent, which is dependent on the central charge of the underlying conformal field theory, has been understood in [2] by using the boundary conformal field theory (BCFT) techniques. The main idea is that fixing observables in part of the system is like introducing a slit-like boundary in the euclidean version of the quantum spin chain. If the boundary condition induced by the configuration respects the conformal symmetry, then one can calculate the formation probability using the BCFT techniques. For many other discussions regarding the BCFT in the presence of a slit geometry see [14]. The analysis is generalized to two-disjoint intervals in [3] and it was pointed out that by calculating this quantity one can not only derive the central charge of the system but also, the whole critical exponents present in the system. It is worth mentioning that in [5], it was shown numerically that the BCFT results are not only valid for emptiness formation probabilities but also for much more general formation probabilities. Note that system with the $U(1)$ symmetry should be studied differently. In this case the decay is Gaussian, see for example [13, 2, 15]. The formation probabilities have also been used to calculate interesting quantities, such as Shannon information [1, 16] and mutual Shannon information [17].

In apparently unrelated studies, the Casimir effect of two floating objects in a two-dimensional classical medium has been the subject of intense studies for decades, see for example [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29] and references therein. The calculation of the Casimir energy of two floating objects in a medium boils down to calculating the change in the free energy of the medium when there is a special boundary condition in the domain where we positioned the objects. Although normally calculating the Casimir energy is a difficult task for generic systems it was shown in [23, 24] that if the system is at the critical point and the boundary conditions are conformal invariant one can exactly calculate the Casimir energy of two arbitrary objects using BCFT techniques.

It is not difficult to see that there is an obvious connection between the emptiness

formation probabilities in the quantum spin chains and the Casimir effect of two slits (needles). This connection first highlighted in the paper [3], in the case of infinite chains. Of course, this connection is not only present in the $1+1$ dimensional systems it can be also seen in arbitrary dimensions. This simple relation can have numerous mutual benefits for these two apparently unrelated areas of research. In [3], we have used the formulas presented in the Casimir effect studies to calculate the formation probability of two disjoint intervals in an infinite system. For general formulas regarding Casimir energy of two needles see [26]. In this work, we extend the results to finite systems. We study two different cases: 1) formation probability of one interval inside an open finite system and 2) formation probability of two disjoint intervals in a finite periodic system. The calculations also provide a formula for the Casimir free energy of a needle inside a strip and the Casimir energy of two aligned needles in a cylinder.

The paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we give a brief review of the connection between formation probabilities and the Casimir effect. We also provide all the necessary definitions and formulas. In the third section, we find a conformal map from strip with a slit to an annulus and then we use it to obtain a formula for the formation probability of a domain inside a finite chain. In the fourth section, we study the formation probability of two disjoint intervals in a finite periodic system. In the fifth section, we numerically check the validity of the presented CFT formulas for the critical transverse field Ising chain. Finally, in the last section we summarize our findings and we also comment on the future directions.

2. Formation probabilities and the Casimir effect in CFTs

In this section, we summarize the main result of the paper [3] which highlights a relation between the Casimir effect and the formation probabilities in the quantum chains. The work was based on the earlier studies on the emptiness formation probability of one interval [2] and the Casimir effect of arbitrary two dimensional objects [23, 24]. Although the relation can be explained most easily in the context of the quantum spin chains the conclusions are valid for any quantum system in any dimension. Consider a quantum system with the Hamiltonian H. The transfer matrix of the system is $T = e^{-\epsilon H}$, where ϵ is the imaginary time step. In the limit of infinite steps $N \to \infty$ we have $T^N \sim e^{-\epsilon N E_g}|\psi_g\rangle\langle\psi_g|$. Now imagine we are interested in the probability of having an arbitrary configuration $|\sigma\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow ... \uparrow\rangle_b$ in part of the system. From now on, we call this quantity the formation probability. Note that the chosen spins do not need to be neighbours. For example, we can think about two disjoint intervals or two disconnected regions with fixed spins. One can calculate the formation probability by the following formula:

$$p_D = lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\langle\psi|T^{\frac{N}{2}}\delta(|\sigma\rangle - |\uparrow\downarrow ... \uparrow\rangle_b)T^{\frac{N}{2}}|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|T^N|\psi\rangle}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta(|\sigma\rangle - |\uparrow\downarrow ... \uparrow\rangle_b)$ fixes the spins in the basis b in some arbitrary directions and $|\psi\rangle$ is the state at infinity and in principle it can be any state which is not orthogonal to

the ground state. The denominator of the equation (2.1) is the total partition function of the corresponding $d+1$ dimensional classical system. The infinite system can be subject to periodic (open) boundary conditions, in that case one needs to work on the infinite cylinder (strip) in two dimensions. In higher dimensions, one needs to think about a generalized cylinder or a generalized strip. The numerator is the partition function of the system with this constraint that the spins in the corresponding sub-domain D have particular values. Using the above argument we can write

$$p_D = \frac{Z_b^D}{Z}, \tag{2.2}$$

where Z_b^D is the partition function of the whole system minus the domain D and Z is the total partition function. Note that the numerator is dependent on the basis that one chooses simply because working on different bases induces different boundary conditions on the slit. Instead of working directly with the formation probabilities, which usually decays exponentially with respect to the volume, it is much better to work with its logarithm. We call $\Pi_D := -\ln p_D$, the logarithmic formation probability and it is dependent on the difference between the free energy of the total system and the free energy of the whole system minus the domain D. It usually follows a volume law with some universal subleading terms that we are interested in calculating them. When the system is at the critical point these subleading terms can be calculated using the boundary CFT techniques. From now on, we write

$$\Pi_D = \alpha V_D + \Pi_D^{CFT} + \gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

where V is the volume of the domain D and Π_D^{CFT} is the universal part of the formation probability that can be calculated using CFT techniques and γ is a constant. It is not difficult to see that the Π_D^{CFT} can be also interpreted as the universal part of the Casimir energy of the system. For example, consider an open chain with a string of fixed observables inside of it. In this case, the classical counterpart of the setup is a strip with a needle inside. If we change the slit position, then only the Π_D^{CFT} part of the logarithmic formation probability will change. In other words, the change in the Casimir free energy of the needle comes from this part. This is the reason why ultimately the Casimir force is just dependent on this term. To see the connection more explicitly it is much better to define the following quantity

$$\mathcal{R}_o := -\ln \frac{p_{A,B}}{p_A} = -\ln \frac{Z_{A,B}}{Z_A}, \tag{2.4}$$

where A and B are the boundary conditions on the slit and the natural boundary of the system, see Figure 1. $Z_{A,B}$ is the partition functions of the strip with a slit inside and Z_A is the partition function of an infinite system with a slit inside. The right-hand side of the equation (2.4) is the energy required to bring the boundary from infinity to a finite distance from the needle.

The same argument goes more or less smoothly also for a periodic system with two slits inside of it. In this case, we define [3]

$$\mathcal{R}_p := -\ln \frac{p_{A,B}}{p_A p_B} = -\ln \frac{Z_{A,B} Z}{Z_A Z_B}, \tag{2.5}$$



Figure 1: (Color online) Strip with a slit inside. The conformal boundary conditions on the two sides of the strip is equal to B and the boundary condition on the slit is A.

where again A and B are the boundary conditions on the slits and $Z_{A,B}$, Z_A and Z_B are the partition functions of the cylinder with two slits, slit A and slit B respectively. The right-hand side of the above equation is the Casimir free energy of two needles. In both of the above examples we have two boundaries and, in principle, they are topologically equivalent to a finite cylinder. Since we know the partition function of the CFT on the cylinder, one can hire the techniques known in the boundary CFT to calculate Π_D^{CFT}. This procedure has been carried out for generic CFT's in two dimensions in [23, 24]. The final result is

$$\mathcal{R}_{p(o)} = \mathcal{F}_{ann} + \mathcal{F}_{geo}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{ann}$ is the free energy of the CFT on the annulus which is known for most of the CFT's [30] and the $\mathcal{F}_{geo}$ can be calculated using standard CFT formulas, see for example [24]:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{geo}}{\delta l} = -\frac{ic}{12\pi} \oint_{\partial S_2} \{w, z\} dz, \tag{2.7}$$

where ∂S_2 is a contour surrounding one of the slits, w is the conformal map which maps the original system with two boundaries to an annulus and $\{w, z\} = \frac{w'''}{w'} - \frac{3}{2}(\frac{w''}{w'})^2$ is the Schwarzian derivative. In the above equation, the derivative is taken with respect to the distance between the slits in the problem of two slits in a periodic system which finally gives us the Casimir energy between the two slits, see Figure 2. However, logarithmic formation probability has also another universal term which is a property of every slit without considering the other one. This part of the logarithmic formation probability can be also calculated by using the above formulas, just we need to consider the derivative appearing in the equation (2.7) with respect to the length of the slit as it is done also in [2]. For the open chain with a slit, we will consider this case.

The annulus contribution part for a generic CFT with the boundary conditions A and B on the two boundaries has the following form [30]



Figure 2: (Color online) Mapping between a domain with two boundaries (slits) to an annulus by the conformal map $w(z)$. The contour ∂S_2 is shown by the continuous red line.

$$\ln Z^{annu}(q) = \ln[q^{-c/24}(1+\sum_j n_j^{AB} q^{\Delta_j})] - c\frac{h}{12}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\ln Z^{annu}(\tilde{q}) = \ln[\tilde{q}^{-c/24}(b_0^A b_0^B + \sum_j b_j^A b_j^B \tilde{q}^{\Delta_j})] - c\frac{h}{12}, \tag{2.9}$$

where the sum in the first and the second formulas are over the highest weight non-trivial conformal operators and their decendents which propagate around and across the annulus respectively. We sigled out the contribution of the identity operator in both formulas. n_j^{AB}'s are some non-negative integers and $b_j^A = \langle A|j\rangle\rangle$ and $b_j^B = \langle\langle j|B\rangle$ with $|A(B)\rangle$ and $|j\rangle\rangle$ are Cardy and Ishibashi states respectively. Different coefficients are related to each other with the formula $n_j^{AB} = \sum_{j'} S_j^{j'} b_j^A b_{j'}^B$, where $S_j^{j'}$ is the element of the modular matrix S, see [30]. Finally, q and $\tilde{q}$ are defined as

$$q = e^{-\pi\frac{2\pi}{h}}, \qquad \tilde{q} = e^{-2h}, \tag{2.10}$$

where e^{-h} is the inner radius of the annulus and the outer radius is 1. As it is clear, for large h the expansion with respect to $\tilde{q}$, i.e. (2.9) is useful and when h is small the expansion with respect to q, i.e. (2.8).

An equivalent way of writing the equations (2.8) and (2.9) is

$$\mathcal{F}_{ann} = -\ln Z_{AB}(\tilde{q}) = c\frac{h}{12} - \ln\sum_\Delta b_\Delta^A b_\Delta^B \chi_\Delta(\tilde{q}), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ann} = -\ln Z_{AB}(q) = c\frac{h}{12} - \ln\sum_\Delta n_\Delta^{AB} \chi_\Delta(q), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\chi_\Delta(\tilde{q})(\chi_\Delta(q))$ is the character of the conformal operator with the conformal weight Δ.

3. One interval in an open system

In this section, we study the logarithmic formation probability of a string with the length l inside a finite chain with the total length L. In two dimensions the strip with the slit can be mapped to an annulus and later to a cylinder. In principle the boundary conditions on the slit and on the natural boundaries of the open system can be different which means that the two boundaries of the cylinder can have different conditions. This subtlety can be easily considered in the framework of our previous section.

The setup that we would like to study is shown in the Figure 3. To derive the logarithmic formation probability, one needs to calculate the partition function of the surface shown in the the Figure 3. The corresponding conformal map from strip with one slit to a cylinder can be derived as follows:

Step I: We first map the strip to a unit disc by the conformal map

$$z_1 = i\frac{e^{\frac{\pi z}{L}} - i}{e^{\frac{\pi z}{L}} + i}. \tag{3.1}$$

The coordinates of P_1 and P_2 are now $(b, 0)$ and $(a, 0)$ respectively with

$$a = \frac{\cos[\frac{\pi l}{L}]}{1 + \sin[\frac{\pi l}{L}]}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$b = \frac{\cos[\frac{\pi (l+s)}{L}]}{1 + \sin[\frac{\pi (l+s)}{L}]}. \tag{3.3}$$

Step II: The unit disc with the unsymmetrical slit can be mapped to a unit disc with a symmetrical slit by the conformal map

$$z_2 = \frac{g - z_1}{1 - g z_1}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$g = \frac{1 + ab - \sqrt{(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}}{a + b}. \tag{3.5}$$

The length of the slit is now $2d$ with

$$d = \frac{-1 + ab + \sqrt{(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}}{b - a}. \tag{3.6}$$

Step III : The remaining disc with a slit can now be mapped to an annulus by using the conformal map $w(z_2)$ provided in [31] as

$$w(z_{z_2}) = i e^{-h} e^{\frac{\pi}{2i\mathcal{K}(k^2)} \mathrm{sn}^{-1}(\frac{z_2}{d}, k^2)}; \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ and sn^{-1} are the elliptic and inverse Jacobi functions ‡ respectively and

$$k = d^2, \tag{3.8}$$

$$h = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\mathcal{K}(1 - k^2)}{\mathcal{K}(k^2)}. \tag{3.9}$$

‡ Note that in all of the formulas we adopt the Mathematica convention for all the elliptic functions.



Figure 3: (Color online) Mapping between different regions. The strip with width L and a slit inside can be mapped to a cylinder with the length h and circumference 2π by the conformal map $\tilde{w}(z)$ in four steps.

Note that the equation (3.7) is valid just for $\mathrm{Im}z > 0$, for the lower half $\mathrm{Im}z < 0$, we need to use

$$w(z) = -ie^{-h} e^{\frac{\pi}{2iK(k^2)} \mathrm{sn}^{-1}(-\frac{z_2}{d}, k^2)}; \tag{3.10}$$

Step IV : The final step is mapping the annulus to a cylinder by $\tilde{w} = \ln w$. Since we already wrote the explicit form of the partition function of the annulus, in principle, this step is redundant. However, it is worth mentioning that the term $c\frac{l}{12}$ in the partition function of the annulus is the result of this step.

To calculate the Schwarzian derivative we need the following chain rule

$$S(f_1 \circ f_2) = \big(S(f_1) \circ f_2\big)(f_2')^2 + S(f_2). \tag{3.11}$$

The calculations can be done using Mathematica. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{geo}}{\delta s} &= \frac{\pi^3 c}{192Ld}\frac{1-4gd+g^2+d^2(1+g^2)}{(d^4-1)(g^2-1)\mathcal{K}^2(k^2)} \\ &+\frac{\pi c}{96Ld}\frac{1+8gd+8gd^5+g^2-5d^2(1+g^2)-5d^4(1+g^2)+d^6(1+g^2)}{(d^4-1)(g^2-1)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For symmetric slit with $l = \frac{L-s}{2}$ we have $b = -a = -d$ and $g = 0$. Then the above formula has much simpler form

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{geom}}{\delta s} = \frac{\pi c}{192Ld}\frac{\pi^2 + 2(d^4-6d^2+1)\mathcal{K}^2(k^2)}{(1-d^2)\mathcal{K}^2(k^2)}. \tag{3.13}$$

The above equations combined with the $\mathcal{F}_{annu}$ provide us all the necessary formulas for the formation probability of a slit inside a finite chain. Since the final integral over s can not be calculated analytically, one can rely on numerical calculations. It is illuminating to study the above formulas in the limit of $L \to \infty$. In this limit, we have

$$d_{L\to\infty} \approx \frac{\pi s}{4L}, \qquad h_{L\to\infty} \approx \ln\frac{8L}{\pi s}. \tag{3.14}$$

In particular, in this limit $\tilde{q}$ is the small parameter with the following asymptotic limit

$$\tilde{q} \approx (\frac{\pi s}{8L})^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Then after a bit of calculations we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{geom} = \frac{c}{8}\ln\frac{s}{a} + ..., \tag{3.16}$$

$$\ln Z^{annu}(\tilde{q}) = \ln b_0^A + \ln b_0^B + \frac{b_1^A b_1^B}{b_0^A b_0^B}(\frac{\pi s}{8L})^{2\Delta_1}, \tag{3.17}$$

where Δ_1 is the smallest scaling dimension present in the spectrum of the system and a is the UV cutoff. Putting all the terms together we finally have

$$\Pi_D^{CFT} = \frac{c}{8}\ln\frac{s}{a} - \ln b_0^A - \ln b_0^B - \frac{b_1^A b_1^B}{b_0^A b_0^B}(\frac{\pi s}{8L})^{2\Delta_1}, \tag{3.18}$$

The first term which is dependent only to the central charge of the system is already derived in [2]. The constant terms are the Affleck-Ludwig boundary entropies and the last term is the finite size correction to the formation probability. Note that there are also some further corrections coming from the geometric part of the partition function, see [2], however, they are not dependent on the spectrum of the system. To determine the boundary entropy term one should first remove a piece of non-universal constant term. This can be done by considering a periodic or infinite system, then we have

$$\Pi_D^{CFT} = \frac{c}{8}\ln\frac{s}{a} - \ln b_0^A + ... \tag{3.19}$$

where again $\ln b_0^A$ is the boundary contribution of the slit. The boundary contribution of the natural boundary can be derived by subtracting the two equations (3.18) and (3.19).

4. Two disjoint intervals in a periodic system

In this section, we study the logarithmic formation probability in the finite periodic system. The most general case that we are interested in is the one with two disjoint intervals. When we have one interval the formation probability has been already calculated in [2]:

$$\Pi = \frac{c}{8} \ln \left(\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi s}{L} \right) + ... \tag{4.1}$$

In the presence of two intervals we have

$$\Pi(L, s_1, s_2, l) = \frac{c}{8} \ln \left(\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi s_1}{L} \right) + \frac{c}{8} \ln \left(\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi s_2}{L} \right) + \mathcal{R}_p(L, s_1, s_2, l), \tag{4.2}$$

where s_1 and s_2 are the length of the slits and $\mathcal{R}_p(L, s_1, s_2, l)$ is the part which is



Figure 4: (Color online) Setup for two disjoint intervals with lengths s_1 and s_2 for a system with the total size L.

dependent on the full spectrum of the system and it is also dependent on the geometrical situations of the two slits, see Figure 4. Note that $\mathcal{R}_p(L, s_1, s_2, l)$ is the same as the corresponding Casimir energy. We would like to calculate $\mathcal{R}_p(L, s_1, s_2, l)$ in the presence of two slits [3] positioned at distance l from each other. In other words, we are interested in study the Casimir energy of two needles in a periodic system. The conformal map of a cylinder with two slits to an annulus has been already presented in [32] and we wrote it explicitly in the appendix. The Schwarzian derivative and the integral over the poles at $z = s_1 + l$ and $z = s_1 + l + s_2$ can be calculated using the Mathematica. Then the final result has the following form:

$$\frac{\delta \ln Z_{\alpha}^{geom}}{\delta l} = -i\pi c \frac{P - Q\mathcal{K}^2(1-k^2)}{R\mathcal{K}^2(1-k^2)}, \tag{4.3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&P = 2\pi^2\Big(-4k(e^{2\pi i\frac{l+s_1}{L}} - 1) + (1+k)^2 e^{2\pi i\frac{s_1}{L}}(e^{2\pi i\frac{l}{L}} - 1)^2\Big),\\
&Q = (1 + 6k + k^2) \times\\
&\Big(-2(k-1)^2 e^{2\pi i\frac{l+s_1}{L}} - 4k - 4ke^{4\pi i\frac{l+s_1}{L}} + (1+k)^2 e^{2\pi i\frac{s_1}{L}} + (1+k)^2 e^{2\pi i\frac{2l+s_1}{L}}\Big),\\
&R = 48Lk(1+k)^2(-1 + e^{\frac{2i\pi l}{L}})(-1 + e^{\frac{2i\pi s_1}{L}})(-1 + e^{\frac{2i\pi(s_1+l)}{L}}).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{K}(k^2)}{\mathcal{K}(1-k^2)}, \tag{4.4}$$

Having the above formulas, now we apply them to three interesting regimes: Two small slits far from each other, one big and one small slits far from each other and two big slits close to each other.

4.1. $s_1, s_2 \ll l$*:*

When two small slits are far from each other the geometric part of the free energy decays like $\frac{1}{l^5}$ with respect to the distance l, see [24]. Because of the fast decay of this term, usually, we can ignore it in favor of more dominant terms coming from the annulus part. This is true also when one of the slits is big but the other one is small. Since in this case we have

$$h = -2\ln \frac{\pi s_1 s_2}{4L\sin\frac{\pi l}{L}}, \tag{4.5}$$

then the small parameter is $\tilde{q}$ with

$$\tilde{q} = (\frac{\pi s_1 s_2}{4L\sin\frac{\pi l}{L}})^4. \tag{4.6}$$

Finally we have

$$\mathcal{R}(L, s_1, s_2, l) = -\ln b_0^A - \ln b_0^B - \frac{b_1^A b_1^B}{b_0^A b_0^B}(\frac{\pi s_1 s_2}{4L\sin\frac{\pi l}{L}})^{4\Delta_1}. \tag{4.7}$$

Note that if the smallest scaling dimension present in the system is bigger than 1, we need to consider the geometric part of the free energy as the leading decaying term.

4.2. $s_2 \ll s_1, l$ *:*

In this case, we have one big and one small slit. As we discussed in the previous subsection the geometric part can be ignored once again in favor of the annulus part.

The small parameter again is the $\tilde{q}$ and we have

$$h = -\ln \frac{\pi s_2 \sin \frac{\pi s_1}{L}}{16L \sin \frac{\pi l}{L} \sin \frac{\pi(l+s_1)}{L}}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\tilde{q} = \Big(\frac{\pi s_2 \sin \frac{\pi s_1}{L}}{16L \sin \frac{\pi l}{L} \sin \frac{\pi(l+s_1)}{L}} \Big)^2. \tag{4.9}$$

Then we can simply write

$$\mathcal{R}(L, s_1, s_2, l) = -\ln b_0^A - \ln b_0^B - \Big(\frac{\pi s_2 \sin \frac{\pi s_1}{L}}{16L \sin \frac{\pi l}{L} \sin \frac{\pi(l+s_1)}{L}} \Big)^{2\Delta_1}. \tag{4.10}$$

The above formula in the limit of s_1 small gives back the equation (4.7).

4.3. $l \ll s_1, s_2$:

Here, we have two big slits that are in a small distance from each other. First, it is better to send the size of the system to infinity, i.e. $L \to \infty$ and then study the Casimir energy. For simplicity, we also consider $s_1 = s_2 = s$. In this case, we have

$$\frac{\delta \ln Z^{geom}}{\delta l} = \frac{c}{12} \frac{\pi^2 (l+2s)^2 - 2(2l^2 + 4ls + s^2) \mathcal{K}^2(\frac{4s(l+s)}{(l+2s)^2})}{l(l+s)(l+2s) \mathcal{K}^2(\frac{4s(l+s)}{(l+2s)^2})}. \tag{4.11}$$

When l is much smaller than s the small parameter is q, in other words, we have

$$h = \frac{\pi^2}{\ln \frac{8s}{l}} + ..., \qquad q = (\frac{l}{8s})^2 + ... \tag{4.12}$$

The above equations already appeared in [3]. Then one can get

$$\ln Z^{geom} = \frac{c}{12} \Big(\frac{\ln \frac{a}{l}}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{\ln \frac{8s}{l}} \Big) + ..., \tag{4.13}$$

$$\ln Z^{annu} = \frac{c}{12} \Big(\ln \frac{8s}{l} - \frac{\pi^2}{\ln \frac{8s}{l}} \Big) + n_1 (\frac{l}{8s})^{2\Delta_1} + ... \tag{4.14}$$

Finally for fixed but large s we have

$$\mathcal{R}^{CFT}(l) = \frac{c}{8} \ln \frac{l}{a} + ..., \tag{4.15}$$

where the dots are the the subleading terms including the s dependent terms.

5. Transverse field Ising chain: numerical calculations

In this section, we numerically check the validity of some of our analytical formulas for the transverse field Ising chain. The Hamiltonian of the critical Ising chain is as follows:

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} \Big[\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^z \Big]. \tag{5.1}$$

Using the Jordan-Wigner transformation the above Hamiltonian can be mapped into a Hamiltonian of the free fermions. The central charge of the transverse field Ising

chain is $c = \frac{1}{2}$. The numerical procedure to calculate the formation probabilities in the σ^z basis is already explained in [5, 3] and we do not report it here. However, it is worth mentioning that one can calculate the formation probabilities easily by having the correlation functions of the fermionic operators. The prototypical configurations that respect the conformal symmetry in the Ising chain are the ones with all the spins in the σ^z basis up and the one with all the spins in the σ^z basis down. All the spins up leads to free boundary conditions with $b_0 = 1$ and $\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. The argument goes as follows: The spins in the two-dimensional classical Ising model are in the σ^x basis, so to find the connection to the familiar boundary conditions, we write the configuration of all the σ^z spins up in the σ^x basis as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\uparrow ... \uparrow>_z = \frac{1}{2^{s/2}}(|\rightarrow>_x + |\rightarrow>_x)...(|\rightarrow>_x + |\rightarrow>_x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{s/2}} \sum_{\{\sigma_j^x\}} |\sigma_1^x ... \sigma_s^x> = |\text{free}> . \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation means that, all the spins up configuration should flow to free BCFT. The formation probability in this special case has a very simple form, it can be written as [12]:

$$
p_\uparrow = \det[\frac{1-G}{2}], \qquad (5.3)
$$

where G is the correlation matrix of the free fermions which has the following forms:

$$
G_{ij}^P = -\frac{1}{L \sin(\frac{\pi(i-j+1/2)}{L})}, \qquad (5.4)
$$

$$
G_{ij}^O = -\frac{1}{2L+1}\Big(\frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\pi(i-j+1/2)}{2L+1})} + \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\pi(i+j+1/2)}{2L+1})}\Big). \qquad (5.5)
$$

where G_{ij}^P and G_{ij}^O are the correlation matrices for the periodic and open boundary conditions respectively. The above correlation matrix for the open boundary condition is derived by considering free boundary conditions. The numerical results indicate that all the spins σ^z down configuration leads to the fixed boundary condition, see [5]§. This fact, will be further supported with the subsequent numerical calculations. The formation probability in this special case has a very simple form, it can be written as [12]:

$$
p_\downarrow = \det[\frac{1+G}{2}]. \qquad (5.6)
$$

Although there are many other configurations that flow to the conformal boundary conditions, here we will only focus on the configurations mentioned above. For a discussion regarding all the possible conformal configurations and their conformal

§ Note that in [3] it was shown that the emptiness formation probability of two disjoint intervals with all the spins down configuration can be derived from the partition function of the Ising CFT with free boundary conditions. The reason is that although this configuration flow to a fixed boundary condition it is not clear that the fixed configurations on the two slits are alike or different. This freedom forces us to work with $Z_{Fi1-Fi1} + Z_{Fi1-Fi2}$ which is the same as Z_{Fr-Fr}.

boundary counterparts see [5, 33]. The corresponding annulus partition functions of different boundary conditions are listed in Appendix B.

First, we have calculated the logarithmic formation probability of an interval positioned symmetrically inside a finite open system. In the Figures 5 and 6, we plot the logarithmic formation probability of a slit inside a chain of size $L = 300$ minus the logarithmic formation probability of the same slit in an infinite system for the two configurations, all spins up and all spins down. The numerical results are in agreement with the CFT predictions. There is a bit of deviation from the CFT results for large s in the case of all spins down configuration which might be due to extra finite size terms that are usually present for this configuration [12]. Note that in the case of all the spins up and down configurations we used $Z_{_{Fr-Fr}}$ and $Z_{_{Fr-Fi}}$ respectively. Their exact forms have been written in the appendix. It is worth mentioning that in both of the above cases from the numerical calculations it is clear that the boundary entropy of the natural boundary of the system is equal to zero.



Figure 5: (Color online) $\mathcal{R}_o(s)$ with respect to s for the transverse field Ising chain with open boundary conditions for the all spins up configuration. The size of the total system is $L = 300$.



Figure 6: (Color online) $\mathcal{R}_o(s)$ with respect to s for the transverse field Ising chain with open boundary conditions for all the spins down configuration. The size of the total system is $L = 300$.

We also calculated $\mathcal{R}_p(L, s_1, s_2, l)$ with $s_1 = s_2 = s$ for the periodic transverse field

Ising chain in the presence of all the spins up configuration. The results depicted in the Figure 7 are also consistent with the CFT formula provided in the last section. Finally, we also verified the validity of the equation (4.15) for large s in the Figure 8.



Figure 7: (Color online) $\mathcal{R}_p(L,s,s,l)$ with respect to s for the transverse field Ising chain with periodic boundary conditions. The size of the total system is $L = 300$ and we used fix $l = 20$.



Figure 8: (Color online) $\mathcal{R}(l)$ with respect to l for the infinite transverse field Ising chain. We took $s = 800$ and the full line is the function $a \ln l + b$ with $a = 0.06$ and $b = -0.36$.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the effect of the finite size on the formation probability of the quantum critical chains described by conformal field theories. First, we have calculated the formation probability of an interval inside an open critical system. Then, we have calculated the formation probability of two disjoint intervals in a finite periodic system. The studied examples can be also interpreted as the Casimir energy of a needle inside a strip and the Casimir energy of two aligned needles on the cylinder. Then, we have checked the validity of the CFT results for the case of the critical transverse field Ising chain. The numerical results are in perfect agreement with the exact CFT calculations. Since the quantities calculated in this paper are dependent on the full structure of the

underlying CFT of the system they can be used to fix the universality class of the model. There are at least three interesting directions to generalize the ideas of this paper. First of all, it will be interesting to generalize the analytical results coming from BCFT to a critical system in higher dimensions. Secondly, it will be nice to calculate the emptiness formation probability of the critical XY chain using generalized Fisher-Hartwig theorem. Finally, it will be interesting to study the effect of the basis on the behavior of the formation probabilities. In particular, it is interesting to study, for example, the formation probabilities of the Ising model in the σ^x basis. Although, thanks to numerical calculations [5], we know the scaling limit of the configurations in the σ^z basis the same problem in the σ^x basis is more challenging both analytically and numerically. For example, in the Ising model although we expect that all the σ^x spins up configuration leads to a fixed boundary condition it is not yet clear what will happen if we consider anti-ferromagnetic configuration or more general crystal configurations discussed in [5].

Acknowledgments. I thank J. Dubail for pointing out to me the reference [14]. I thank ICTP for hospitality during a period which part of this work was completed. This work was supported in part by CNPq.

Appendices

A. Conformal map from a cylinder with two slits to an annulus

The conformal map from an infinite cylinder with two aligned slits (in the periodic direction of the cylinder) with lengths s_1 and s_2 and the distance l to an annulus is as follows[32]: First, we introduce a conformal map $\tilde{z}(z)$, which takes the system from an infinite cylinder with two slits to a whole plane with two symmetric aligned slits, with length $\frac{1}{k}-1$ and the distance 2, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{z} &= \frac{e^{2i\pi\frac{z}{L}}+a_0}{b_1 e^{2i\pi\frac{z}{L}}+b_0}, \\
a_0 &= \frac{e^{2i\pi\frac{s_1}{L}}}{N}\Big(1-k-2e^{2i\pi\frac{l+s_1}{L}}+(1+k)e^{2i\pi\frac{l}{L}}\Big), \\
b_1 &= \frac{-1}{N}\Big((1-k)e^{2i\pi\frac{l+s_1}{L}}+2k-(1+k)e^{2i\pi\frac{s_1}{L}}\Big), \\
b_0 &= \frac{e^{2\pi\frac{s_1}{L}}}{N}\Big(1-k+2ke^{2i\pi\frac{l+s_1}{L}}-(1+k)e^{2i\pi\frac{l}{L}}\Big), \\
N &= -2-e^{2i\pi\frac{l+s_1}{L}}(-1+k)+e^{2i\pi\frac{s_1}{L}}(1+k),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

with the k given by

$$k = 1+2\frac{\sin[\frac{\pi s_1}{L}]\sin[\frac{\pi s_2}{L}]-\sqrt{\sin[\frac{\pi s_1}{L}]\sin[\frac{\pi s_2}{L}]\sin[\frac{\pi(s_1+l)}{L}]\sin[\frac{\pi(s_2+l)}{L}]}}{\sin[\frac{\pi l}{L}]\sin[\frac{\pi(l+s_1+s_2)}{L}]}. \tag{A.2}$$

The conformal map from the plane with two symmetric slits to an annulus with the inner and outer radiuses $r = e^{-h}$ and $r = 1$ has the following form:

$$w(z) = e^{-\frac{h}{2}} e^{h\frac{\mathrm{sn}^{-1}(\tilde{z},k^2)}{2\mathcal{K}(k^2)}}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$h = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{K}(k^2)}{\mathcal{K}(1-k^2)}, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ and sn^{-1} are the elliptic and inverse Jacobi functions ‖ respectively

B. Boundary CFT of the critical Ising chain

In this appendix we list some necessary formulas for the boundary conformal field theory of the critical Ising model. There are two different conformal boundary conditions for the critical Ising model, free and fixed boundary conditions [34]. These two boundary conditions can produce four different partition functions: 1) fixed with spins in the same direction on both boundaries "Fi1-Fi1" 2) fixed with spins in the opposite direction "Fi1-Fi2" 3) free on one boundary and fixed on the other one "Fr-Fi" and 4) free on both boundaries "Fr-Fr". The corresponding partition functions on the cylinder with the length h and the circumference 2π can be written with respect to characters as follows

$$Z_{_{Fi1-Fi1}} = \chi_0(\tau) + \chi_{1/2}(\tau) + \sqrt{2}\chi_{1/16}(\tau), \tag{B.1}$$

$$Z_{_{Fi1-Fi2}} = \chi_0(\tau) + \chi_{1/2}(\tau) - \sqrt{2}\chi_{1/16}(\tau), \tag{B.2}$$

$$Z_{_{Fr-Fr}} = \chi_0(\tau) + \chi_{1/2}(\tau), \tag{B.3}$$

$$Z_{_{Fr-Fi}} = \chi_0(\tau) - \chi_{1/2}(\tau), \tag{B.4}$$

where the characters are defined as follows:

$$\chi_0(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(\tau)}}\Big(\sqrt{\Theta_3(\tilde{q}^{1/2})} + \sqrt{\Theta_4(\tilde{q}^{1/2})}\Big), \tag{B.5}$$

$$\chi_{1/16}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(\tau)}}\sqrt{\Theta_2(\tilde{q}^{1/2})}, \tag{B.6}$$

$$\chi_{1/2}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(\tau)}}\Big(\sqrt{\Theta_3(\tilde{q}^{1/2})} - \sqrt{\Theta_4(\tilde{q}^{1/2})}\Big). \tag{B.7}$$

where Θ_i's are the Jacobi theta functions and $\tilde{q} = e^{\pi i \tau}$ with $\tau = i\frac{h}{\pi}$ is as before. η is the Dedekind function with the following definition

$$\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n). \tag{B.8}$$

In this paper we just work with $Z_{_{Fr-Fr}}$ and $Z_{_{Fr-Fi}}$.

‖ Note that in all of the formulas we adopt the Mathematica convention for all the elliptic functions.

References

[1] J-M Stéphan, G. Misguich, and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B, **82**, 125455 (2010)

[2] J-M Stéphan, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P05010

[3] M. A. Rajabpour, EPL, **112**, 66001 (2015)

[4] B. Pozsgay, J. Stat. Mech.(2014) P10045

[5] K. Najafi and M. A. Rajabpour, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 125139 (2016)

[6] B. Pozsgay, V. Eisler, J. Stat. Mech. (2016) 053107

[7] A. V. Kitaev, A. G. Pronko, Comm. Math. Phys. **345** (2016), 305-354 and F. Colomo, A. G. Pronko, A. Sportiello [arXiv:1605.01700]

[8] V. E. Korepin , A. G. Izergin , F. H. L. Essler and D. B. Uglov , Phys. Lett. A **190** 182 (1994) and F. H. L. Essler, H. Frahm, A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **174**. 191 (1995)

[9] N. Kitanine, J-M. Maillet , N. Slavnov and V. Terras, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **35**, L385 (2002); N. Kitanine, J-M. Maillet , N. Slavnov and V. Terras, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35** L753 (2002); A. G. Abanov and V. E. Korepin, Nucl. Phys. B. **647** 565 (2002); V. E. Korepin , S. Lukyanov, Y. Nishiyama and M. Shiroishi, Phys. Lett. A **312** 21 (2003); K. K. Kozlowski, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P02006; L. Cantini, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** 135207 (2012)

[10] A.G. Abanov and V.E. Korepin, Nucl. Phys. B **647**, 565, (2002)

[11] M. Shiroishi, M. Takahashi and Y. Nishiyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70** 3535 (2001)

[12] A. G. Abanov, F. Franchini, Phys. Lett. A, **316**, 342 (2003) and J. Phys. A **38**, 5069 (2005)

[13] A. G. Abanov, in *Application of random matrices in physics*, Brezin, E.; Kazakov, V.; Serban, D.; Wiegmann, P.; Zabrodin, A. (Eds.) NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry, Vol. 221 Springer, Les Houches, June 2004. [arXiv:cond-mat/0504307]

[14] J-M Stéphan and J. Dubail, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) P09002

[15] N. Allegra, J. Dubail, J.-M. Stéphan, J. Viti, J. Stat. Mech. (2016) P053108

[16] J-M Stéphan, G. Misguich, and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 195128 (2011). D. J. Luitz, F. Alet, N. Laflorencie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 057203 (2014) and D. J. Luitz, F. Alet, N. Laflorencie, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 165106 (2014)

[17] F. C. Alcaraz, M. A. Rajabpour, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 017201 (2013), J-M Stéphan, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 045424 (2014) F. C. Alcaraz, M. A. Rajabpour,, Phys. Rev. B, **90**, 075132 (2014); F. C. Alcaraz, M. A. Rajabpour, Phys. Rev. B, **91**, 155122 (2015) and F. C. Alcaraz, Phys. Rev. B Vol.94, 115116 (2016).

[18] M. E. Fisher and P. G. de Gennes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **287**, B-207 (1978).

[19] A. Gambassi, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **161**, 012037 (2009)

[20] T. W. Burkhardt and E. Eisenriegler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3189 (1995); **78**, 2867 (1997); E. Eisenriegler and U. Rietschel, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 13717 (1995).

[21] S. L. Veatch, O. Soubias, S. L. Keller, and K. Gawrisch, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **104**, 17650 (2007).

[22] T. Baumgart, A. T. Hammond, P. Sengupta, S. T. Hess, D. A. Holowka, B. A. Baird, and W. W. Webb, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **104**, 3165 (2007).

[23] B. B. Machta, S. L. Veatch, J. P. Sethna, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 138101 (2012)

[24] G. Bimonte, T. Emig, and M. Kardar, EPL **104** 21001 (2013)

[25] O. A. Vasilyev, E. Eisenriegler, S. Dietrich, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 012137 (2013)

[26] E. Eisenriegler, T. W. Burkhardt, [arXiv:1602.04483]

[27] C. Yolcu, I. Z. Rothstein, and M. Deserno, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 011140 (2012), G. Bimonte, T. Emig, M. Kardar, Physics Letters B **743**, 138 (2015)

[28] P. Nowakowski, A. Macioek, S. Dietrich, [arXiv:1602.03791]

[29] A. Gambassi, A. Silva, [arXiv:1106.2671]; ibid. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 250602 (2012) and S. Sotiriadis, A. Gambassi, A. Silva, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 052129 (2013)

[30] J. Cardy, arXiv:hep-th/0411189

[31] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping* (McGraw-Hill, New York,1952)
[32] M. A. Rajabpour, J. Stat. Mech. (2016) 063109
[33] K. Najafi and M. A. Rajabpour, [arXiv:1608.04074] and K. Najafi and M. A. Rajabpour, *unpublished*
[34] J. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B **324**, 581(1989).